INDEX.

A.

Abalement, 34.

Abbott on Shipping, 7th English, and 5th American edition, notice of, 138; received, 139.

A case from the Year Books, 414. Account, Rundlett v. Small, 115.

Action, 223, 273, 471; commencement of, Roberts v. Pike, 117; how far assignable, Hutchinson v. Peters, 308. See Bankruptcy, 8, 9.

Action on Book Account, Flower Brook Manufacturing Co. v. Buck, 31; Eddy v. Stafford, 33; Proctor v. Hills, 312.

Action on the case, 471.

ADMIRALTY.

In cases of collision, where both vessels are to blame, the whole damages are to be equally divided between them, but the court may order the vessel most to blame to pay all the costs. Rogers v. Brig Rival, 28.

2. The owner of the cargo cannot be held to contribute, in general average, towards the expenses of repairs of the vessel, when the cargo is in safety, and receives no benefit therefrom. Sparks v. Kittredge, 318.

3. Semble; — When no other vessel can

3. Semble; — When no other vessel can be procured to take the cargo, and it would perish, or be of no value if left, if the expenses of repairs exceed the benefit to the ship-owner therefrom, such excess should be paid by the cargo, if incurred for its benefit. But whether such payment should be made by general average, quære, ib.
4. A seaman stands in relation to the mas-

4. A seaman stands in relation to the master of a vessel like a child to a parent, or an apprentice to a master, or a scholar to a teacher, so far as regards obedient and respectful deportment, and is punishable corporally for a deportment or language not obedient or respectful. Fuller v. Colby,

5. The punishment, however, must be not excessive, considering the nature of the offence; and a single blow with the hand,

producing no wound, is not so, ib.

6. A seaman, on receiving such a blow for such an offence, is not justified in drawing and brandishing a knife or an axe; nor is he justified in using them to prevent his arrest, whether for the original offence, or the use of the knife, ib.

7. The master is authorized to order the mate to assist him to make such an arrest; and the mate and the master may seize deadly weapons in order to resist those of that character in the hands of the seaman,

and to put down mutinous and insubordinate conduct in him and the rest of the crew, dangerous to the officers and the safety of the vessel, and to restore order and obedience on board; and, if necessary, may use them for this last purpose. A seaman has no right to refuse to lay down such deadly weapons, till the officers do theirs first, and is not protected from further violence in such case by law, if retreating to the prow of the vessel, or by any other course than obedience, ib.

8. But if then punished improperly, or too severely for a past offence, he has full redress on his return; or if attacked, without provocation or disobedience on his part, he can defend himself; and under all excessive blows and punishment for disrespect or disobedience, he can justify as a child or apprentice or scholar resisting the excess, ib.

9. In the present improved condition of

9. In the present improved condition of seamen, it is best not to punish corporally any except minors for slight offences, and unless in case of mutiny and imminent peril, it is better to delay all punishment till the parties have full time to become cool, and apologize, ib.

10. Where a vessel was under fifty tons burthen, and not engaged in the foreign trade, or in the coasting trade out of the state, but with a license was employed in carrying and laying stone during summer in Quincy River and Massachusetts Bay, it is doubtful whether her employment was of that maritime character which would render the vessel liable for wages, Packard v. Sloop Louisa, 441.

11. If a person is hired on board of her by the master, who has chartered the vessel of all the owners at a fixed proportion of the profits, and this fact is known to the person, if he signs no shipping-articles, and resorts to the master only for payment two or three years after the service is finished, and is paid in part by him, — it is strong evidence that the contract was originally with the master alone, and not intended to bind the owners as such, or the vessel, ib.

12. This presumption is strengthened if the person was thus hired and employed to load and unload, and lay the stone, as well as to navigate the vessel, instead of signing shipping-articles, and being employed exclusively in marine duties. And the usage of a port, in such a case, has some influence, ib.

13. A delay to institute proceedings against the vessel for wages for three years after they became due from the master, under the above circumstances and contract, and when

in the mean time some of the owners had changed and become insolvent, exonerates her from the lien for wages, ib.

14. There is no fixed time for liens to expire, which exist at common law, except the time of parting with the possession, and none in maritime liens, where possession does not exist with them exclusively, except the end of the next voyage, or the intervention, after it, of rights by third persons without notice, ib.

Adultery, 93.

Adverse possession, 34, 274.

Agency, 34, 184, 375, 471; how far an agent is liable for violation of his orders, Lanfear v. Blossman, 272; agent not liable in trover for seizure on execution in favor of his principal, Wheelock v. Dana, 310.

Agreement, 128.

Alien, 35, 223. Amendment of the Law, society for promoting, 235.

Angell on Limitations, 2d edition, received, 41; notice of, 90.

Arbitration, 471.

Archbold's Pleading and Evidence, received, 378; notice of, 518

Arkansas, Criminal Laws of, 236. Army. See Habeas Corpus.

Army. Arrest, Clutterbuck v. Hules, 127; malicious, McLellan v. Bank of Cumberland, 82.

Assignment, 223. Assumpsit, 35.

Attachment, 35, 274, 372, 471; Smith v. Smith, 83. See Insolvent Law.

Attorney, privileged from arrest while at-tending court, Clutterbuck v. Hules, 127; lien for costs, Hutchinson v. Pettes, 308. Attorney, 36.

Attorney general in Mass., 554.

Auction, a sale by auction announced to be "without reserve," is void, if the vendor employs a party to bid on his behalf, without giving notice of the fact. Thornett v. Haines, 320.

Audita querela, 472; resorted to ex necessitate rei in Vermont, Lincoln v. Flint, 32.

Authority, 36. Award, an officer of the United States has no right to submit rights of the United States to be adjusted by an award, without authority from congress, United States v. Ames, 295; whether an award, not accepted can be pleaded in bar, ib.

B.

Bailments, 223, 472. BAKER, THE TRIAL OF ABNER, 337.

Bank, 122, 209, 274.

Bank of Kentucky v. Schuylkill Bank, opin-ion in, received, 139.

BANKBUPTCY, 128, 274, 472. See Insolvent

1. Debt on a jail bond. Plcas, -1. Nil debct; 2. A certificate in bankruptcy for the principal. The plaintiff demurred. The second plea set forth a decree some time previous to the escape, that the principal was entitled to his discharge, but the certificate

itself, and the order to deliver it, bore date subsequently. Objections were also taken to the declaration. It was held, that, -1.
The bond was the gist of the action, not merely inducement, and nil debet was there-fore a bad plea. 2. There was a breach upon the escape, and the plaintiff inmediately thereon had a vested right in the bond, which could not be avoided by a certificate obtained afterwards. 3. The defects in the declaration, if any, were waived by the plea. The judgment of the county court for the defendant was reversed, and judgment given for the plaintiff. Leave was refused to amend by pleading non est factum, and traversing the time of the escape. Dyer v. Cleveland, 33.

2. There is no inhibition, in the bankrupt act of 1841, or in the relation which the state and federal governments bear to each other, or in the grants or restraints of power conferred upon them respectively, which deny to the state courts the right to entertain an inquiry into the validity of a discharge and certificate upon an allegation duly interposed, that the bankrupt did not render a full and complete inventory of his "property, rights of property, and rights and credits," but fraudulently concealed the same, Mabry Mabry

v. Herndon, 254.

3. Quære? May not the discharge and certificate of a bankrupt be impeached for fraud by one not a party to the proceedings in bankruptcy, according to the principles of the common law, without reference to the provisions of the act, and in such case is it not sufficient for the pleadings to state in what the fraud consists, without giving the formal notice which the act seems to contemplate, ib.

4. Semble; A plea which merely alleges that the debt sought to be recovered is of a fiduciary character, is bad; because it states a legal conclusion, instead of disclosing the facts, that the court may determine whether the debt is founded upon a trust, such as is excepted from the operation of the bankrupt

act, ib.

5. It is not an available objection on error, that notice of an intention to impeach a bankrupt's discharge and certificate, was not given until after the commencement of the term of the court when the cause was triable; the act of congress does not prescribe the time when the notice must be given, and if too short to allow the necessary preparation to be made for trial, a continuance should be asked, ib.

6. Where a defendant in execution sets up his discharge and certificate as a bankrupt, by a petition, upon which a supersedeas is awarded, it is competent for the plaintiff to impeach the same for any of the causes pro-vided by the act of congress of 1841, and make up an issue to try the facts, ib.

 A bankrupt, in a suit commenced by himself, and prosecuted by his assignee, is not a competent witness for the plaintiff, after his discharge. Hammond v. Wheelock, 310.

8. Where a cause of action arises under certain rights acquired by a statute of the

United States, and where there is not in the constitution, or the statute itself, a limitation or restriction confining the jurisdiction to the United States courts, the state courts may take cognizance of the action, if in other respects within their ordinary jurisdiction. Ward v. Mann, 493.

9. An action may be brought in a state court, by the assignee of a bankrupt, upon a contract or liability of a debtor of the bankrupt, contracted previous to the bankruptcy, ib.

Bankrupts in Massachusetts, 286.

BAR, THE PRACTICE OF THE, 241. Barrow, Alexander, Obituary notice of, 478. Barr's Pennsylvania Reports, Vol. 1. notice

of, 138; received, 139. Bills and Notes, 36, 129, 224, 275, 280, 372, 375, 516; a note, payable to the maker's own order, and by him indorsed, passes by delivery, as if it were payable to bearer; and the circuit courts of the United States have jurisdiction of an action brought against the maker, by a holder who is a citizen of another state, where the amount in dispute exceeds \$500, Towne v. Smith, 12; a man's own promissory note is a good subject of a donatio causa mortis in New York, but not in England, Massachusetts, or Connecticut, Parker v. Emerson, 76; payment, Rundlett v. Small, 115; indorser, demand and notice, insolvency of maker, Gore v. Moore, 115; commencement of action, indorsement, Roberts v. Pike, 117; as a general rule, where a bill of exchange is accompanied by a bill of lading, the holder of the bill of exchange, upon the acceptance of the bill, is bound to surrender to the acceptor the bill of lading; — the right to retain the bill of lading is analogous to that of stoppage in transitu, and is subject to the same modifications, Lanfear v. Blossman, 272; mere suspicion is no ground to justify the withholding the bill of lading; there must he manifest em-barrassment in the affairs of the drawee, ib.; the right to withhold the bill of lading is exercised at the risk of damages, in case it should turn out that the drawee was not insolvent, or in failing circumstances, ib.; a promissory note, executed and delivered on Sunday, except in a case of necessity or charity, is void under the law of Vermont; but to sustain this defence, the delivery, as well as execution, must be proved to have taken place on Sunday, Lovejoy v. Whipple, 307; promissory note, erasure, evidence, admissions of former owner, Matthews v. Kidder, 313. Bill in Equity, see Equity.

Bond, breach of jail bond not avoided by subsequent discharge in bankruptcy, Dyer v. Cleveland, 33; not a subject of donatio causa mortis in England, Parker v. Emerson, 76, suit on cashier's bond, McLellan

v. Bank of Cumberland, 62.

Book Account, 472; action on, Flower Brook
Manuf. Co v. Buck, 31; Eddy v. Stafford,

BOSTON PRISON DISCIPLINE SOCIETY, 97.

C.

Cambridge Law School, 237.

Campbett's (Lord) Lives of the Lord Chancellors, notice of, 474.

Capital Punishment, in Maine, 44; article on, 331; in Louisiana, 381.

Carrier, 130. Cases, overruled, doubted, or explained, 130. Chancery, 372, 472, 513; Spence's Jurisdiction of Courts of, 283; Daniell's Practice,

notice of, 330. See Equity. Chandler, Peleg, Obituary notice of, 478.

Charlestown State Prison, 190.

Chipman, Chief Justice, Notice of his Life,

Chief Justice of the English Common Plens,

Chief Justice Parsons, 289.

Collier's Pamphlet on the Courts of Virginia, notice of, 52

Collision on the highway, Kennard v. Burton, 116. See Admiralty

Commissioner of United States circuit court, has no right to issue a writ of habeas cor-

pus, Ex parte Barnes, 314. Condition, 513; a condition in a lease, that

in case of breach of covenant, the lessor may enter and eject, is not a conditional limitation, Fifty Associates v. Howland,

CONNECTICUT REPORTS, Day's, 433. Consideration, Forhearance to sue, 422.

Constitution of Louisiana, 142. CONSTITUTION OF NEW YORK, THE NEW, 481, 475,

Constitutional Law. See Habeas Corpus, Post Office and Slaves.

Contract, 37, 513; who are within the consideration of a marriage contract, Neves v. Scott, 67; what constitutes an executory contract, ib ; a volunteer cannot maintain a hill to enforce a specific performance, ib.; effect of representations of respondent's obligee, on a contract for conveyance of land, Appleton v. Horton, 83; entire contract, Proctor v. Hills, 312. See Inso.vent Law and Marriage Contract.

Coparceners, 37. Copyright of books, how far affected by false and fraudulent representations made with regard to them, Wright v. Tallis, 418.

Corporation; shares in a corporation, held by a bank as collateral security, are not taxable to the bank, Waltham Bank v.
Town of Waltham, 122 209.
Costs, 275, separate costs allowed to each

partner in trustee process, Perry Manufacturing Company v. Brown, 542. See Admirally.

Cour d'Assizes de la Seine, Report of French Criminal Cases, 1.

Court and Jury, province of, Commonwealth v. Porter, 455 Covenant, 37, 224.

Crimes, 37.

CRIMINAL CASES, French, 1.

Criminal Law, 514; English, 379.
CRIMINAL LAW, WHARTON'S AMERICAN,

Criminal Laws of Arkansas, 236.

Cruft, Edward, Jr. Obituary Notice of, 95. Curtis's United States Digest, received, 41 notice of, 189; letter in relation to, 233.

Custom, how far it is obligatory on the parties to a contract, Lanfear v. Blossman,

Cutter's Insolvent Law, notice of, 137, received, 139.

D.

Damage Fensant, tender of amends, 422. Damages, 130, on first eviction, in trespass

quare clausum, Stevens v. Hollister, 30; for collision on the highway, Kennard v. Burton, 116; for game-cocks destroyed under form of legal process, Coolidge v. Choate, 205. See Admiralty.

DAMAGES, RULE OF, IN ACTIONS EX DEысто, 529.

Daniell's Chancery Practice, notice of, 330. Daris, Judge, Obituary notice of, 478; article in relation to, 521.

DAY'S CONNECTICUT REPORTS, 433. Debt on jail bond, nil debet a bad plea, Dyer

v. Cleveland, 33. Deed, 38, 276, Construction of description in Stevens v. Hollister, 30.

Defence of the guilty, 45. Delivery, Smith v. Smith, 83.
Demand, Smith v. Smith, 83.
Denio's New York Reports, Vol. I., Digest

of, 128, 182; notice of, 425.

Deposition, 514; Brown v. Osgood, 116; caption; method of taking; where a deposition purports to be taken according to the laws of another state, it will be presumed that it was so taken, unless the contrary is shown, Barron v. Pettes, 311.

DIGEST OF AMERICAN CASES; Selections from 12 New Hampshire Reports, 34, 84; Selections from 1 Denio's (New York) Reports, 128, 182; Selections from 24 Maine (11 Shepley's) Reports, 223; Selections from 8 Metcalf's (Mass.) Reports, 273, 324; Selections from 1 Gilman's (Illinois) Reports, 372; Selections from 2 Washburn's (Vermont) Reports, 471, 513.

Distress in cases of damage feasant, and tender of amends, 422.

Division of Real Estate, 276.

Donalio causa mortis, a man's own promissory note is a good subject of, in New York, but not in England, Massachusetts, or Connecticut, Parker v. Emerson, 76; neither is a bond, ib.; a provision in its nature testamentary cannot be construed as a donatio causa mortis, Coffin v. Otis, 203.

E.

Eames, Theodore, Obituary notice of, 527. Ejectment. See Landlord and Tenant. Encyclopædia Americana, Vol. XIV. receiv-

ed, 474. English Criminal Law, 379.

Enlistment of Volunteers, 475. See Habeas Corpus.

Equity, 224, 372, 472, 513; Brown v. Os-good, 118; Buck v. Cobb, 545; the sworn answer of a defendant, when responsive to material allegations in the bill, must be taken as true, unless impugned by the testimony of more than one witness, Towne v. Smith, 12; bill for specific performance of a marriage contract cannot be maintained by volunteers, Neves v. Scott, 67; may be maintained where an action at law may be brought by trustees, semble, ib.; effect of representations of responds obligee on a contract for conveyance of land. Appleton v. Horton, 83; jurisdiction of courts of equity, Hempstead v. Watkins, 119; the judgment of a court of law conclusive upon a court of equity, ib.

1. In a suit in equity brought by the vendor of land to set aside the conveyance for fraud, a mortgagee of the vendee was brought in to defend, but it was shown that the mortgage was discharged before the bill was filed, and there was no evidence of any collusion. It was held, that the mortgagee was a competent witness for the respondent; but that he would not have been, if he had remained interested, Warner v. Daniels, 160.

2. A witness is not rendered incompetent by having received a copy of the interrogato-ries before the time of testifying, without any comments or any influence used to affect his answers, ib.

3. A witness is not rendered incompetent by having received a letter from one of the parties, requesting him to tell the whole truth, without any suggestion as to what the writer considered the truth to be, ib.

4. A mistake as to the value of the consideration given for the conveyance of land, is not a sufficient ground for setting aside the conveyance, where the vendor had means of avoiding the mistake by inquiry, and no fraud or falsehood was used to influence his judgment, ib.

5. But if a vendor of land is clearly shown to have been overreached in a material degree, by impositions, concealments, or misrepresentations, made by the vendee, on which he properly relied, he will be relieved

in equity, ib.

6. And to sustain such a charge, the whole circumstances of the case, and the character and relations of the parties, are proper sub-

jects of consideration, ib.

7. Courts of equity can go more on what is called presumptive evidence, than courts of law, ib.

8. Where the bill charges that a company, represented by the respondents to have been duly organized, was never duly organized, the record of the organization is the best and suitable evidence of the fact, and not the oath of one of its officers, ib.

9. Where the vendee of the land made representations respecting the value of the consideration, which were false in material points, and which influenced the vendor to sell, whether the vendee knew them to be false or not, it was held that they would vitiate the sale, ib.

10. So also if they were made by another

person in the presence of the vendee, and he was benefited by them, ib.

11. Suppressio veri, is as fatal as suggestio

falsi, ib.
12. Conversations of the respondent with other persons, on a subject of a kindred character, near the time of the transaction, and illustrating his intention, are competent evidence for the complainant, ib.

13. An entire failure of consideration in the receipt of what is mere moonshine, is often sufficient to rescind a contract; although mere inadequacy of consideration is

not sufficient, ib.

14. Where the aid of a court of chancery is indispensable to obtain the discovery of the important facts in the case, an application for relief can be sustained in connection with that discovery, in the circuit courts of the United States, notwithstanding the 16th section of the judiciary act prohibits such re-lief when it can be obtained at law, ib.

15. Length of time, short of the statute of limitations, is sometimes a bar; but not if fraud exists, or if the delay is accounted for, or if such a course would work injustice, ib.

16. If either party cannot restore the pro-perty in good condition, damages may be given; and if the inability to restore hap-pens by the course of the complainant, it should not prevent his obtaining relief in some manner, if he was not then aware of

the fraud, ib.

17. D. purchased a farm of W., paying him therefor in shares of the stock of the Cleft Ledge Granite Company, which he represented to be worth \$6000. Several reprepresented to be worth 86000. Several representations were made to W. by D. and also by F., who was concerned in the same company, to induce W. to take the stock in payment, which representations proved to be false, and the stock worthless. On a bill in equity by W for relief, it was decreed, that the sale should be rescinded, the shares re-conveyed by W. to D., and the farm by D. , and a master appointed to report the amount of rents and waste, after deducting permanent improvements, which should be allowed to W. by D. ib.

18. But if neither the land nor the shares could be reconveyed, the master must examine and report the damage done to W. by the misrepresentations of D. and F., and a decree be entered against them for the amount. And if the land could be reconveyed, and not the shares, the land must be reconveyed, and the value, if anything, of the shares, at the time of the sale, deducted from the net income, and a decree made for the balance, ib. Equity, Jurisprudence, remarks on the state

of, in Massachusetts, 42. Erasure, Matthew v. Kidder, 313.

Escape, on a jail bond, not avoided by sub-sequent discharge in bankruptcy, Dyer v. Cleveland, 33.

Evidence, 38, 84, 131, 225, 276, 514, Brown v. Osgood, 118; Winslow v. Kimball, 119; Rundlett v. Small, 115; evidence of value, Covell v. Gerts, 116; sale of chattels; admission of vendor, after sale and delivery, cannot affect the title of vendee; acts of the parties admitted in evidence; pos-

session of vendor, after alleged sale, admissible as evidence tending to prove fraud in fact, Edmunds v. Smith, 309; admissions of a former owner of a promissory note, Matthews v. Kidder, 313; the testimony given by a deceased witness, in a former trial, in a civil or criminal case, may be proved by a witness, who took minutes of the testimony, using the words of the witness and not his own, although he cannot say that he can give the exact words used by the witness, and although he omitted most of the connecting words, and although he cannot say that he took down all that the witness said, and cannot testify without his minutes, State v. Canney, 408; the testimony given as above by a witness, who has since become insane, and is still so, cannot be proved, it appear ing that it was not a case of confirmed and hopeless insanity, ib.; presumption of death, Nepeau v. Knight, 421; examination in chief, 524. See Equity and Slander. Evidence, Greenleaf on, notice of Vol. II.,

90; notice of Vol. I., third edition, 474. Examination in Chief, 524. Execution, 134, McLellan v. Bank of Cumberland, 82; discharge of married women taken in execution, 421. See Part Owners.

Express. See Post-Office.

Extent, 84.

Fees, 514.

Field on Reform in Practice, received, 520.

Fixtures, 85, 133, 515.
Flowage acts of Massachusetts considered,
United States v. Ames, 295.

Forcible Entry and Detainer. See Landlord and Tenant.

Foreign Attachment, 85.

Forfeiture, Thomas v. Hayden, 306.

Former Acquittal, for an offence not indicta-ble at the time when alleged, no bar to an indictment alleging the offence to have been committed after the passage of the statute rendering it indictable, Commonwealth v. Zepp, 220.

Former Recovery, when a bar to a suit, Whitney v. Clarendon, 31. Fraud, 225, 374. See Equity. Fraudulent Sale, Brown v. Osgood, 118.

Frauds, Statute of, 133, 278.
FRENCH CRIMINAL CASES, 1. See Trial.

G.

Gaming; game-cocks are not implements or apparatus of gaming, Coolidge v. Choate, 205; measure of damages for game-cocks,

Gay, Samuel, Obituary notice of, 526.

George, John, Obituary notice of, 526. Gilchrist's (New Hampshire) Digest, notice of, 423.

Gilman, Allen, Ohituary Notice of, 47. Gilman's Illinois Reports, Digest of vol. I. Glen's New Constitution of Louisiana, received, 139. Graham's Practice, received, 474.

Greenleaf on Evidence, vol. 2, notice of, 90; notice of vol. 1, 3d edition, 474.

Greenleaf on the Testimony of the Four

Evangelists, received, 283. Guaranty, 226.

H.

HABEAS CORPUS, 475. See Slaves.

1. A commissioner of the United States circuit court has no right to issue a writ of, ex parte Barnes, 314.

2. An enlistment into a volunteer com-pany in the service of the United States, is a contract with the government, and is not binding upon the infant, unless shown clearly to be beneficial to him. Commonwealth v. Archer, 465.

3. The members of such a volunteer company, although officered and organized by the state authorities, are to be regarded as United States troops, and not as militia in the service of the United States. Ib.

4. In this case, a minor enlisted in a volunteer company, joined with his father in a petition for a habeas corpus for his release; and the court ordered him to be discharged,

5. The volunteers, raised under the act of congress, of May, 1846, providing for the raising of military forces for the Mexican war, are not militia, nor a part of the regular army, but a distinct species of the military force of the United States, partaking somewhat of the character of both. In re Kim-

ball, 500.
6. The raising of such a volunteer force, by congress, is constitutional. Ib.

7. The enlistment in such volunteer force is in the nature of a contract; and the obligation of the volunteers to serve, depends upon that contract. Ib.

8. The enlistment of minors in such vol-

unteer companies, without the consent of their parents, masters or guardians, is in-Ib.

9. Whether the appointment of the officers, in a company composing a part of such volunteer force, by the governor of the state in which the company is raised, is constitu-tional or legal, — quere? But the volun-teers are estopped taking advantage of the objection on habeas corpus.

10. It seems, that under the act of 1846, it is optional with the government, to make the election, in the outset, that the volunteers shall serve during the war; and if the volunteers enlist with notice of such election,

they are bound by their assent. Ib.

11. A minor, who enlisted in one of the volunteer companies, raised under the act of congress of May, 1846, providing for the raising of military forces for the Mexican war, but which company has not yet been mustered into the service of the United States, or received or accepted by any officer thereof, and has not received any rations or clothing therefrom, cannot be held in custody as a volunteer, under the law of the United States. Bamfield v. Abbott, 510.

12. Nor can such minor be held under the statute of Massachusetts of 1840, c. 92, sec. 5, which provides for the ordering out of the militia, by draft or otherwise. 16.

A minor, under the age of eighteen, cannot he held by enlistment. In re Kinneston, 548. Harding, Fisher Ames, Obituary notice of,

Harmar, Thomas L., Obituary notice of,

Harrison's Digest, Notice of, 423.

Highway, Law of, 515; Kennard v. Burton, 116; what is a nuisance in, Pike v. Brimmer, 221.

Hill on Trustees, received, 139. Hilliard on Real Property, Notice of, 188. Нотсн-Рот.

Anecdote of Mr. Heald, 46. Portraits of Chief Justices Lynde and Oliver,

Allusion to Tirrell's Trial, 46. Exchequer Reports in request, 46. New Library of Law, &c., not seen, 46. Assistant Instructer in Law School, 46. Custody of a Child, 94.

Mr. Justice Wightman, 94.

Cutler's Insolvent Law, 94. Morehead's Withdrawal from Western Law Journal, 94. Jurors' Mode of Sitting, 94.

Decisions of the Supreme Judicial Court of Maine, 94. Arrival of Hon. James T. Austin, 94.

Punch's Definition of Jury, 143. M. Berriat Saint Prix and Theodore Sedg-

wick, 143. Story's Commentaries on Equity Jurispru-

dence infringed, 143 Mrs. Trimble appointed Clerk of the Court,

Hon. William Kent appointed Dane Profes-

sor, 143. Memphis University. Tennessee, 190.

Western Law Journal, 190.

Hon. William Kent probably Royall Professor, 191.

Authorship of First Article, 191. Chief Justice Wells's Residence, 191. Biography of Judge Story in contemplation,

Capital Punishment abolished in Michigan,

Leading article, on Juries, 238. Degrees of LL. D. 239. Comfort of a Jury, (Contad v. Williams,)

Mistake in printing from Maine Reports, 287. London Law Magazine on Grand Juries, 287.

Forbearance to Prosecute a Suit, 287. Hon William Kent appointed Royall Profes-

sor, 333. Town Bulls in Boston, 332. Wirt's Life of Patrick Henry, 382.

Pennsylvania Law Journal, 382. Chief Justice Williams of Vermont, 382. Trial of Ezra Canney, 382.

James Newbury transported, 382. Hon. Ellis Lewis elected Professor in Franklin College, Penn. 382.

Several New Books received, 382.

Justices Tindal and Williams, 430. Pennsylvania Law Journal, new series, 430. Deaths among the Members of the English Bar. 431.

Western Law Journal and Charge of Hornblower, 431.

Specifications of Defence, 431. Townsend's Lives of Judges, 431.

Rules of the Circuit Court, 431. Verdicts of two Juries on the same Facts,

431

Greenleaf on the Testimony of the Evangelists, 431.

Sedgwick on the Rule of Damages, 431. Admission to the Bar in Iowa, 476. Law Schools in Germany, 476. Chief Justice Tindal's Will, 476.

Legal Appointments, 477. Legal Movements in Massachusetts, 477. Complimentary Notice of Judge Colby, 477. Birthplace of J. P. Rogers, 477.

Omitted Articles, 477.

Webster's Remarks on English Jurists, 524. The Boston Bee on Day's Reports, 525. Rumored Intention of Judges to Resign, 525. Howard's Supreme Court Reports, Notice of

vol. 4, 229.

Hurry of the Court of Chancery, 141.

Husband and Wife, 86; White v. Henry,
116; discharge of married women taken in execution, 421. See Marriage Contract.

I.

Illinois Reports, 1 Gilman's, Digest of, 372. Imprisonment, 133.

Infancy, 86, 133; a guardian at litem ap-pointed, in a suit against an infant, Graves Barber, 31; White v. Henry, 116. See Habeas Corpus.

Injunction, Buck v. Cobb, 545.

Insanity, 87, 515.

Insolvent Law, remarks upon, 46; Cutler's Work, Notice of, 137; received, 139.

1. If a party be legally and properly discharged, as to any contract in the state where the insolvent system exists, the dis-charge must be held good in other states, and in the courts of the United States, Towne v. Smith, 12.

2. But if the contract is made, or is to be performed abroad, such discharge is not a

bar to the action, ib.

3. It seems, that a negotiable note, not restricted on its face to be paid within the state, may be considered as payable, wherever the indorsee may live; and if the indorsee live out of the state, it is not barred by a subsequent discharge in the state where the contract was made, ib.

4. In such a case, the discharge will not avail in a court of the United States, unless the contract sued has been conclusively assigned to a person living in another state, or the interest in it still remains in a citizen of the state in which it was made, ib.

5. Whether the actual seizure of the property of an insolvent, under process issuing from a court of the United States, before his assignces under the state insolvent law take possession of it, creates a lien which will, in all cases, be sustained - quære? ib.

6. Whether, where an insolvent, living in Massachusetts, gives to a creditor, also living in Massachusetts, in payment of a pre-vious debt, a note payable to his own order and by himself indorsed, and the creditor sells the note in New York to a third per-son, living in New York, the note is to be considered a contract, as between the debtor

considered a contract, as between the denor and such third person, made or to be performed in New York—quære? ib.

7. H. & H. debtors, living in Massachusetts, gave to W. A. H. & Co. also living in Massachusetts, in payment of a previous debt, a note, payable to the order of H. & H. and by them indorsed. W. A. H. & Co. carried the note to New York, and sold it there for a good consideration, to S. living there, for a good consideration, to S. living in New York. S. commenced a suit against H. & H. in the United States circuit court for the district of Massachusetts, and attached the property of H. & H. thereon. H. & H. became insolvent under the law of Massachusetts, T. & T. were duly appointed their assignees, and H. & H. were discharged from their debts under such law. T. & T. then brought a bill in equity in the circuit court, praying that S. might be en-joined from proceeding further in his suit against H. & H. in that court. The court, upon these facts, ordered that the bill be dismissed, on precedents in the supreme court of the United States, but doubting the correctness of their principles, ib.

8. A debt, due from one citizen of Massa-

chusetts to another, contracted while the bankrupt law of the United States was in operation, and while the insolvent law of Massachusetts was suspended, may be disthe insolvent law, after the repeal of the bankrupt law, and the revival of the insolvent law. Whiting v. Lewis, 181.

9. Insolvent Law; Certificate of Discharge; Creditors living without the Commonwealth; Contracts made and to be performed within the Commonwealth; Consti-

tutional Law, Savoye r. Marsh, 208.

Insolvents in Massachusetts, Monthly List of, 48, 95, 143, 191, 239, 287, 335, 383, 431, 479, 527, 555.

Insurance, 278.

INTELLIGENCE AND MISCELLANY, Equity Jurisprudence. 42; Capital Punishment in Maine, 44; The Defence of the Guilty, 45; License Law, 45; Insolvent Law, 46; Hotch-Pot, 46; Chief Justice Parsons, 91; Prison Discipline, 92; Law and Phrenology, 93; Adultery, 93; Hotch-pot, 94; Judicial Salaries in Massachusetts, 140; The Hurry of the Court of Chancery, 141; Privileged Communication, 141; Law Privileged Communication, 141; Law Studies, 142; The Constitution of Louisiana, 142; Hotch-Pot, 143; Recent American Digests, 189; Charlestown State ican Digests, 189; Charlestown State Prison, 190; Hotch-Pot, 190; William Pinckney, 231; United States Digest, 233; Society for Promoting the Amendment of the Law, 235; The Criminal Laws of Arkansas, 236; Cambridge Law School, 237;

Hotch Pot, 237; The Trial by Jury, 284; Liability of Partners to Third Parties, 235; Bankrupts in Missachusetts, 236; Chief Justice of the English Common Pleas, 236; Hotch-Pot, 237; Capital Punishment, 331; Merrill v. Parker, 333; Hotch-Pot, 333; English Criminal Law, 379; Nuisance, 330; The New English Judge, 331; Capital Punishment in Louisiana, 331; To our Readers, 382; Hotch-Pot, 382; Prison Discipline, 427; Plagiarism, 429; Hotch-Pot, 430; New Constitution of New York, 475; Enlistment of Voluntcers, 475; Hotch-Pot, 476; The Late Judge Davis, 521; Trial of a Slave for Marder, 524; Examination in Chief, 524; Hotch-Pot, 524; Attorney General in Liability of Partners to Third Parties, 235 Hotch-Pot, 524; Attorney General in Mass., 554; Practice, 554; To our Readers, 554.

J.

- Johnson's Cases, Second Edition, notice of, 139, received, ib.
- Joinder of Parties, Buck v. Cobb, 545. Judgment, 134, 515; of a court of law con-clusive upon a court of equity, and vice versa, Hempstead v. Watkins, 119. Judicial Reform in Maryland, received, 520.
- Judicial Salaries in Massachusetts, 140. Jurisdiction, 87, of U. S. Circuit Courts, on
- a note payable to the maker's own order, and by him indorsed, Towne v. Smith, 12; jurisdiction in places ceded to the United States, United States v. Ames, 295; of the supreme judicial court of Massachusetts, in relation to writ of prohibition, In re Hunnewell, 506.
- Jurisprudence, Remarks on the state of equity jurisprudence in Massachusetts, 42.
- Jury, 134, 374; province of, Commonwealth v. Porter, 455; right of counsel to address the jury on questions of law, ib.
- JURY. trial by, 234. Juryman's Guide, Notice of, 188.

Kerr, Judze, Obituary Notice of, 95. Kidnapping, See Staves.

- Landlord and Tenant, 135, 515; a condition in a lease, that in case of a breach of covenant the lessor may enter and expel, does not give power to eject the tenant by the summary process of Mass. Rev. Stat. ch. 104, s. 2, Fifty Associates v. Howland, 178; a receipt of rent for a sub-sequent year is a waiver of a claim for forfeiture, for non-payment of the rent for a previous year, Thomas v. Hayden, 306.
- Larceny, 135. Law and Phrenology, 93.
- Law Magazine, (London) Selections from, notes of leading cases, 418.
- I.m. School. Cambridge, 237.

- Law Studies, 142.
- Lease, 135.
- Legacy to witness void, Winslow v. Kimball, 119.
- Letters, See Post Office.
- Libel, 136; by the agency of others, Queen v. Cooper, 419: right of comment by the press on sermons, ib. 420.
- cense Law, 45.
- Lien, Mechanics' Lien does not extend to graveyards, Beam v. First Methodist Society, 214; whether it does to houses of worship, quære, ib.; lien of an attorney for costs, Hutchinson v. Peters, 308. See Insolvent Law.
- Limitations, Angell on, Second Edition, re-
- ceived, 41, notice of, 90.

 Limitations, Statute of, 136, 279, 374; what is sufficient evidence of a new promise to pay a debt, barred by the statute, Penaro v.
- Flournoy, 269.
 Lives of the Lord Chancellors, Lord Campbell's, notice of, 474.
- Lord's Day, 549, See Bills and Notes.

M.

- Mail, See Post Office.
- Maine Reports, Digest of Vol. 24, 223; mistake in printing from, 333.
- Maintenance, 549.
- Malicious Arrest, McLellan v. Bank of Cumberland, 82.
- Mandamus, 374. Marriage, See Husband and Wife.
- Marriage Contract, who are within the consideration of, Neves v. Scott, 67; volunteers cannot maintain a bill in equity for specific performance, ib.; the consideration of marriage and a portion usually extends only to the husband, the wife, and their issue, ib.
- Martin, Judge, Obituary notice of, 477.
- Marvin's Bibliography, 553. Massachusetts Reports, Digest of 8 Metcalf,
- 273, 324; notice of same, 329.

 Matthews, General Vincent, Obituary notice of. 334.
- Mayor and Aldermen, their duty to ahate a nuisance in a public highway, Pike v.
- Brimmer, 221. Meeting-houses, rights of the society, and of pew owners, Kellogg v. Dickenson, 32; not subject to mechanics' lien, semble, Beam v.
- First Methodist Society, 214. Merrill v. Parker, mistake in printing marginal note of, 333.
- Metcalf's Reports, Digest of Vol. 8, 273, 324; notice of same, 329.
- Militia, See Habeas Corpus.
- Mills, See Flowage.
- Mittimus, See Slaves Monthly List of Insolvents in Massachusetts,
- 48, 95, 143, 191, 239, 287, 335, 383, 431,
- Mortgage, 88, 136, 226, 279, Smith v. Smith, 83; a mortgagee who takes possession while the premises are vacant, and long after the debt is due, is not held to account more strictly than other trustees, Felch v. Felch, 217. See next paragraph.

Mortgage of Personal Property, 88; notice to mortgagor; evidence of value, Covell v. Gerts, 116; the legal property is in the mortgagee until foreclosure, Waltham Bank v. Waltham, 209.

1. A. mortgaged to B. his stock in trade, to secure a bona fide debt, describing it in these words: "the whole stock in trade of said A., as well as each and every article of merchandise which said A. this day bought of W., as every other article constituting the said A.'s stock in trade, in the shape the same is and may become, in the usual course of the said A.'s trade and business as a trader." It was held, that nothing passed by the mortgage, except the stock in trade which the mortgagor had, at the time the mortgage was executed, Jones v. Richardson,

2. Such mortgage will not be held to be fraudulent, but will be held valid as to those goods which the mortgagor had at the time the mortgage was executed, in the absence of

any proof of fraud, ib.

3. Whether, where a party agrees to pledge property afterwards to be acquired, and when acquired delivers over the same to the pledgee, the right of the pledgee will then attach, quære. But if so, the same doctrine does not apply to a mortgage or sale, ib.

Whether, if the mortgagor had done any act, in relation to the subsequently acquired goods, by which he ratified the mortgage, he would have thus given the mortgagee a lien thereon, as against the mortgagor, - quære,

5. But, under the revised statute of Massachusetts, ch. 74, s. 5, a delivery of such subsequently acquired property by the mortgagor to the mortgagee, could not render the mortgage valid, as against subsequently attaching creditors, unless delivered with the intention to ratify the mortgage, and unless the mortgagee had retained open possession of the same until the time of such attachment. And whether such delivery and possession would be suffi-cient to render it valid, — quære, ib.

Mussey, John Fitz Henry, Obituary notice of, 47.

N.

- Negligence, on the highway, Kennard v. Burton, 116.
- New Books, Notices of, See Notices of New Books.
- NEW BOOKS RECEIVED, Sandford's New York Chancery Reports, Vol. I., 41; Angell on Limitations, Second Edition, 41; Curtis's United States Digest, Vol. III., 41; Hill on Trustees, 139; Glen's New Constitution of Louisiana, 139; Opinion in Constitution of Louisiana, 139; Upmion in Bank of Kentucky v. Scuylkill Bank, 139; Abbott (Lord Tenterden) on Shipping, 139; Barr's Pennsylvania Reports, Vol. I., 139; Cutler's Insolvent Law, 139; Statutes at Large, Peters's Edition, 230; Greenleaf's Testimony of the Four Evangelists, 283; Whatton's Gripping Law, 232. Application Wharton's Criminal Law, 283; Archbold's Pleading and Evidence, 378; Encyclopædia Americana, Vol. XIV., 474; Graham's

- Practice, 474; Paley on Agency, 474; Thornton's Conveyancing, 520; Sedgwick on the Measure of Damages, 520; Occasional Reporter, (South Carolina,) 520; Read's Opinion, 520; Judicial Reform in Maryland, 520; Field on Reform in Prac-
- tice, 520.

 New Constitution of New York, 481,
- New Hampshire Digest, Gilchrist's, notice of, 423.
- New Hampshire Reports, Digest of, 34, 84. New Trial, 374, Woodman v. Valentine, 117 granted because counsel were not permitted to address the jury on questions of law, Commonwealth v. Porter, 455. New York, New Constitution of, 481,
- 475.
- New York Reports, Digest of 1 Denio, 128, 182; notice of, 425.
- NOTICES OF NEW BOOKS, Life of Chief Justice Chipman, 40; Williams's Saunders's Reports, Sixth Edition, 41; New Books Received, 41; Angell on Limitations, Second Edition, 90; Greenleaf on Evidence, Vol. II., 96; Cutler's Insolvent Law, 137; Barr's Pennsylvania Reports, Vol. I., 138; Abbott (Lord Tenterden) on Shipping, Seventh English and Fifth American Edition, 138; Johnson's Cases, Second Edition, 139; New Books Received, 139; Hilliard on Real Property, 188; The Juryman's Guide, 188; Howard's Supreme Court Reports, Vol. IV., 229; New Books Received, 230; Peters's Statutes at Large, 281; Spence's Court of Chancery, 283; New Books Received, 283, Metcalf's Reports, Vol. VIII., 329; Daniell's Chancery Practice, 330; Sampson on Crime and its Treatment, 377; New Books, 378; Gilchrist's New Hampshire Digest, 423; Harrison's Digest, 425; 1 Denio's (New York) Reports, 425; 2 Washburn's Vermont Reports, 473; Greenleaf on Evidence, Third Edition, 474; Lord Campbell's Lives of the Lord Chancellors, 474; Archbold's Pleading and Evidence in Criminal Cases, 518; Collier's Pamphlet on the Courts of Virginia, 520; New Books Received, 520; Sedgwick on Damages, 550; Marvin's Bi-
- bliography, 552; Chase's Argument, 553. Nuisance, 136, 182, 380, must be something more than merely disagreeable, but anything that renders the neighborhood less comfortable than before, is a nuisance, Regina v. Barry, 124; any unauthorized obstruction in a public highway is a nuisance, Pike v. Brimmer, 221; a wooden building in the street is a nuisance, ib.; it is the duty of the mayor and aldermen of Boston to abate such a nuisance, ib.

0.

OBITUARY NOTICES. Barrow, Alexander, 478. Chandler, Peleg, 478. Cruft, Edward, Jr., 95. Davis, John, 478. Eames, Theodore, 527. Gay, Samuel, 526.

George, John, 526. Gilman, Allen, 47. Harding, Fisher Ames, 239. Harmar, Thomas L., 526. Kerr, Judge, 95. Martin, Francis Xavier, 477. Matthews, Vincent, 334. Mussey, John Fitz Henry, 47. Payne, Elijah, 239. Pennybacker, Isaac S., 478. Price, William M., 239 Read, William George, 47. Rodney, Daniel, 478. Rogers, Jonathan P., 383. Smith, James, 525. Taft, Bezaleel, 334. Tindal, Nicholas Conyngham, 233. Walker, Joseph B., 527. Williamson, William D., 191. Occasional Reporter, (South Carolina,) received, 520 Office and Officer, 182.

P

Paley on Agency, received, 474.
Parent and Child, 515; White v. Henry,
116; See Habeas Corpus. PARSONS, CHIEF JUSTICE, 289. Parsons, Chief Justice, notice of, 91. Partners, Liability of, to third persons, 285. Partnership, 83, 183, 280, 516. Part Owner may bring trover for seizure on execution issued against another part owner, Wheelock v. Dana, 310. Patent, Buck v. Cobb, 545. Paupers, 280. Payment, 516; of money into court not necessary, in an action grounded upon a for-feiture, Thomas v. Hayden, 306. Payne, Elijah, Obituary notice of, 239. Pennsylvania Reports, Barr's, Vol. I., notice of, 138; received, 139. Pennybacker, Isaac S., Obituary notice of, Perjury; a prosecution for perjury committed in an affidavit of defence in a civil action, cannot be instituted until after final judgment therein, Commonwealth v. Dickinson, 33, Personal Property, 184. Peters's Statutes at Large, notice of, 231, 231. Pews. See Meeting-houses. Phrenology and Law, 93. Pickering, John, the late, 49. Pilot, 280. Pinckney, William, notice of, 231. Plagiarism, 429. Pleading, 184, 230, nil debet a bad plea to an action of debt on a jail bond, Dyer v. Cleve-

land, 33.

Post-Office, a clerk in, taxable for his income, Melcher v. City of Boston, 110; the act of congress prohibiting the establishment of private expresses, is constitutional, United States v. Thompson, 451; if the mail is actually carried over a railroad, under the authority of the post-office department, with the assent of, and by an

arrangement with the railroad corporation, that is sufficient to answer the requirements of the statute, notwithstanding no formal written contract has been made, ib.; the establishment of an express, one of the purposes of which is the carrying of letters over such a route, is a violation of the law; nor does it make any difference, that they are carried without distinct compensation; but the proprietor of such an express might take a document giving him authority to receive merchandise on presenting the same, or a receipt for his own protection for articles delivered, ib.; the propri-etor of such an express is liable only for acts done or authorized by himself; and if he authorized acts amounting to a violation of the law, he is guilty, although he did not know they would amount to such a violation; and if he authorized the carrying of one class of letters forbidden by law, and his agent carried one of another class, also forbidden by law, mistaking it for one of the former class, he was not criminally responsible therefor, ib.

Practice, 88, 227, 554. PRACTICE OF THE BAR, 241. Previous Acquittal. See Former Acquittal. Previous Recovery. See Former Recovery. Previous Recovery. Price, William M., Obituary notice of, 239. Principal and Agent, 184, 375. See Agency. Principal and Surety, 185, 375. See Surety. Prison, Charlestown state, 190. Prison Discipline, 92, 427. PRISON DISCIPLINE SOCIETY, BOSTON, 97. Privilege of an attorney from arrest, while attending court, Clutterbuck v. Hules, 127. Privileged Communication, 141. Probate Court, 516. Probate of Will, Winslow v. Kimball, 119. Prohibition, Writ of, nature of, and right of supreme court to issue, discussed, In re Hunnewell, 506. Promissory Notes. See Bills and Notes. Puffing. See Auction.
Punishment. See Admiralty, and Capital

R.

Punishment.

Read's Opinion, received, 520. Read, William George, Obituary notice of, 47. Readers and Correspondents, to, 47. Real Property, Hilliard on, notice of, 188. Receipter, 227. Recent American Digests, 189. Release, 185, McLellan v. Bank of Cumber-land, 82. Religious Society. See Meeting houses. Replevin, 280, 324; Smith v. Smith, 83. Representations of respondent's obligee, effect of, on a contract, Appleton v. Horton, 83. Review, 324. Riot in Church, Com. v. Dupuy, 549. Road, 376; Law of, Kennard v. Burton, 116. Rodney, Daniel, Ohituary notice of, 478. Rogers, Jonathan P., Obituary notice of, 383. RULE OF DAMAGES IN ACTIONS EX DELICTO, 529.

S.

Sale, and Delivery of Goods, 185, 325. See Vendor and Purchaser, and Auction.

Sale for Taxes, 376. See Equity. Sale of land.

Sampson on Crime and its Treatment, notice of, 377.

Sandford's Chancery Reports, Vol. I. received, 41.

Saunders's Reports, Notice of, 41.

Seamen. See Admiralty. Seamen's Wages, White v. Henry, 116. See Admiralty.

Security, collateral; shares in a corporation, held by a bank, held as collateral security, are not taxable to the bank, Waltham Bank v. Town of Waltham, 122.

Sedgwick on the Measure of Damages, received, 520. Notice of, 550.

Seisin and Disseisin, Stevens v. Hollister, 30.

Set-off, 89.

Shelley's case, rule in, Neves v. Scott, 67 Shepley's (Maine) Reports, digest of Vol. 24, 223.

Sheriff, 89.

Sheriff's Sale, Griffith v. Fowler, 314.

Shipping, Abbott on, Notice of, 138; received, 139. See Admiralty.

Slander, 186; right of the defendant to show common reports against the plaintiff's character in mitigation of damages, Davis v. Young, 512; evidence of the plaintiff's character may be given on either side on the question of damages, ib.

SLAVES, 524, 553.

The section of the statute of Ohio entitled " an act to prevent kidnapping," which forbids any person from attempting or assisting to carry any black or mulatto person out of the state, without proving his right before some judge or justice of the peace in the county, is absolutely null and void, under the decision of the supreme court of the United States, in the case of Commonwealth of Pennsylvania v. Prigg; Richardson v. Beebe, 316.

2. An arrest and detention, under a mittimus charging the person arrested with kid-napping, under said section, and not proving property before removal, but not averring that the person carried away was a freeman, are illegal, ib.

3. Every mittimus must substantially show that the accused is charged with some defi-nite offence, or it cannot be sustained, ib.

4. Where A., a slave from Georgia, when in Massachusetts with her master, states, in casual conversation with B., a colored man, that she is a slave, and would like to be free, but is afraid or unwilling to take her freedom, and B. repeats the conversation to C., and C. calls at her master's house to see her, and is by her master refused permission, these facts are not sufficient to justify C. in applying for a writ of habeas corpus for the purpose of setting A. at liberty, and she may recover damages of C. for any injury that resulted to her in consequence of his acts. Linda v. Hudson, 353.

5. The right to reclaim a fugitive slave

must not be exercised except by due process of law, and never with force and arms. re Kirk, 355.

6. A master of a vessel, on board of which a slave escapes from Georgia to New York, will not be considered, in the courts of New York, as the agent of the owner of the slave, and thus authorized to detain and carry back such slave to Georgia, in the absence of any express authority, notwithstanding any implication of such authority from the laws of Georgia, ib.
7. The laws of Georgia, which provide that

any person may apprehend a fugitive slave, and return him to his master, cannot operate beyond the territory of Georgia, ib.

8. Where a master of a vessel returns, upon a writ of habeas corpus, that the person detained in custody by him is a slave, who has concealed himself on board the vessel, and is there confined, without averring that he holds him for the purpose of bringing him before the mayor, pursuant to the Revised Statutes of New York, ch. 659, \$ 151, the court will not presume that he held the slave for the purpose of so bringing him before the mayor, ib.

9. In a case involving personal liberty, where the fact is left in such obscurity that it can be helped out only by intendment, that intendment shall be in favor of the prisoner,

10. The provision in the Revised Statutes of New York, giving authority to the mayor or recorder of the city of New York, in the case of a slave who secretes himself on board a vessel, and is brought into the state in such vessel, to inquire into the circumstances, and give a certificate which shall be a sufficient warrant to the captain to carry or send such slave to the port or place from which he was brought, is unconstitutional and void. In re

Kirk, 361.

11. The legislation of congress upon the subject of fugitive slaves must supersede all state legislation upon the same subject, and, by necessary implication, prohibit it; but cannot interfere with the police power belonging to the states, by virtue of their general sovereignty. The provision of the Revised Statutes in question does not come within that police power, ib.

Smith, James, Ohituary notice of, 525, Society for promoting the Amendment of the

Law, 235 Society, Religious. See Meeting-hor Specification, 325, Buck v. Cobb, 545. See Meeting-houses. Spence's Court of Chancery, notice of, 283.
Statutes at Large, Peters's edition, notice of, 231, 281.

Sunday, 549. See Bills and Notes, Surety, 185, 375; rights and liabilities of, Hempstead v. Watkins, 119.

T.

Taft, Bezaleel, Obituary notice of, 334. Taxes. 517; a clerk in a post office is taxa-ble for his income, Melcher v. City of Boston, 110; shares in a corporation, held as collateral security by a bank, are not taxaable to the bank, Waltham Bank v. Town of Waltham, 122, 209.

Tenants in Common, 89.

Tender, 517; sufficient before twelve o'clock at night, Thomas v. Hayden, 306; tender of amends, in cases of damage feasant, 422.

Testamentary provision, cannot be construed as a donatio causa mortis, Coffin v. Otis, 203; what is a testamentary provision, ib. THE LATE JOHN PICKERING, 49.

THE NEW CONSTITUTION OF NEW YORK,

481, 475. The New English Judge, 281. THE PRACTICE OF THE BAR, 241.

THE TRIAL OF ABNER BAKER, 337. Thornton's Conveyancing, received, 520. Tindul, Nicholas Conyngham, Obituary notice

of, 238.

To our Readers, 382, 554. To Readers and Correspondents, 47.

Towns, 89, 325; powers of towns in relation to the appropriation of money, how limited, In re Hunnewell, 506.

Trespass, 228, 325.
Trial, 187; province of the court, and of the jury, Cominonwealth v. Porter, 455.

TRIAL BY JURY IN NEW YORK, 193; trial

by jury, 234.
TRIAL FOR A DUEL IN FRANCE, 145. See
French Criminal Cases.

TRIAL OF ABNER BAKER, 337. Trial of a slave for murder, 524.

Trover, 228, 326; an agent, who collects and receives money for property sold on an execution in favor of his principal, is not liable in trover therefor to the owner of the property; a party, who attaches joint property in an action against one or more part owners, and procures the whole to be sold on execution, and receives the proceeds, is liable in trover at the suit of the other part owner. Hammond v. Wheelock, 310.

Trust, 326.

Trustee Process, 228, 326, 517; separate costs allowed to each partner, Perry Manufacturing Co. v. Brown, 542.

U.

United States. See Jurisdiction, 295. United States Digest, received, 41; notice

of, 189; letter from Mr. Curtis in relation to, 233. Use and Occupation, 326.

Vendor and Purchaser, sale of chattels; admissions of vendor, after sale and delivery, cannot affect the title of vendee; acts of the parties admitted in evidence; possession of vendor, after alleged sale, admissible as evidence tending to prove fraud in fact, Edmunds v. Smith, 309. See Equity. Verdict, Woodman v. Valentine, 117

Vermont Reports, Selections from 2 Wash-burn, 471, 513; notice of, 473. Volunteers, 475. See Habeas Corpus.

W.

Walker, Joseph B., Obituary notice of, 527. Warrant, 326.

Warranty, 187. See Equity.
Washburn's Vermont Reports, Vol. 2, Selections from, 471, 513; notice of, 473.
Waste, a case from the Year Books, 414.

Watercourse, 376, 517.

Way, 327.

WHARTON'S AMERICAN CRIMINAL LAW, 385.

Wharton's Criminal Law, received, 283.
Will, 327; probate of, Winslow v. Kimball,
119; evidence of legatee, ib.; legacy to witness void, ib.; what is a testamentary provision, Coffin v. Otis, 203; such provision cannot be construed as a donatio causa mortis, ib.

Williams, Vaughan, created judge, 381. Williamson, William D., Obituary notice of,

191.

Witness, 328, 376; Rundlett v. Small, 115; witness to a will, Winslow v. Kimball, 119; interested where liable for counsel fees, Hutchinson v. Pettes, 308. Equity, and Evidence. Writ of Prohibition. See Prohibition, Writ

of.

Year Books, a case from, 414.

